

CSE 176 Introduction to Machine Learning Final Exam Review



Neural Network (Topic 9, 10, 11,12)



 x_1

*x*₂

 x_3

Input layer



+1

Linear Classification (perceptron)

□For two class problem and 2-dimensional data (feature vectors)



Depicting shallow neural networks

$$h_{1} = a[\theta_{10} + \theta_{11}x]$$

$$h_{2} = a[\theta_{20} + \theta_{21}x]$$

$$y = \phi_{0} + \phi_{1}h_{1} + \phi_{2}h_{2} + \phi_{3}h_{3}$$

$$h_{3} = a[\theta_{30} + \theta_{31}x]$$



With enough hidden units

Q... we can describe any 1D function to arbitrary accuracy





Universal approximation theorem

"a formal proof that, with enough hidden units, a shallow neural network can describe any continuous function on a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^D to arbitrary precision"



Example of Multi Layer Perceptron (MLP)





Linear Classification (perceptron)

□For two class problem and 2-dimensional data (feature vectors)



Perceptron: $f(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}^i) = u(W^T X^i) \approx \sigma(W^T X^i)$ approximate decision function *u* using its softer version (relaxation)



Relaxed predictions are often interpreted as prediction "probabilities"

$$\Pr(\mathbf{x}^{i} \in \text{Class1} | W) = \sigma(W^{T} X^{i})$$

$$\Pr(\mathbf{x}^{i} \in \text{Class0} | W) = 1 - \sigma(W^{T} X^{i}) \equiv \sigma(-W^{T} X^{i})$$

(binary case)

Cross-Entropy Loss (related to *logistic regression* loss)

Perceptron approximation: $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}^i) = u(W^T X^i) \approx \sigma(W^T X^i)$

Consider two probability distributions over two classes (e.g. bass or salmon): $(\mathbf{y}, 1 - \mathbf{y})$ and $(\sigma, 1 - \sigma)$



(binary) Cross-entropy loss:

$$L(\mathbf{y},\sigma) = -\mathbf{y}\ln\sigma - (1-\mathbf{y})\ln(1-\sigma)$$

Distance between two distributions can be evaluated via **cross-entropy** (equivalent to *KL divergence* for fixed target)

$$H(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{q}) := -\sum_{k} p_k \, \ln q_k$$

(general multi-class case)

Cross-Entropy Loss

K-label perceptron's output: $\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{W}X^i)$ for example X^i *k*-th index Multi-valued label $\mathbf{y}^i = k$ gives **one-hot** distribution $\bar{\mathbf{y}}^i = (0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ Consider two probability distributions over K classes (e.g. bass, salmon, sturgeon): $\bar{\mathbf{y}}^i$ and $(\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2, \bar{\sigma}_3, ..., \bar{\sigma}_K)$ $\Pr(\mathbf{x}^i \in \operatorname{Class} k \,|\, W) = \bar{\sigma}_k(WX^i)$ bass salmon sturgeon cross entropy Total loss: $L(W) = \sum \sum -\bar{\mathbf{y}}_k^i \ln \bar{\sigma}_k(WX^i)$ $i \in \text{train} \quad k$ $L(W) = - \sum \ln \bar{\sigma}_{\mathbf{y}^i}(WX^i)$ $i \in \text{train}$

sum of Negative Log-Likelihoods (NLL)

Multi-variate functions

Gradient Descent

Example: for a function of two variables



- direction of (negative) gradient at point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ is direction of the steepest descent towards lower values of function $L_{5,12}$
- magnitude of gradient at $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ gives the value of the slope

Multi-variate functions

Gradient Descent

Example: for a function of two variables



How to Set Learning Rate α ?

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - \alpha \, \nabla L$$

If α too small, too many iterations to converge



 If α too large, may overshoot the local minimum and possibly never even converge



Learning Rate

 Monitor learning rate by looking at how fast the objective function decreases



Variable Learning Rate

If desired, can change learning rate α at each iteration

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} &= 1 \\ \mathbf{x}^{(1)} &= \text{any initial guess} \\ \text{choose } \alpha, \varepsilon \\ \text{while } \alpha ||\nabla \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})|| &> \varepsilon \\ \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} &= \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \alpha \nabla \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \\ \mathbf{k} &= \mathbf{k} + 1 \end{aligned}$

fixed α gradient descent variable α gradient descent



Computing Derivatives: Chain of Chain Rule

• Compute $\frac{\partial \mathbf{d}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}$ from the end backwards

direction of computation

- for each edge, with respect to the main variable at edge origin
- using chain rule with respect to the variable at edge end, if needed



Computing Derivatives: Look at One Node



- Some of these partial derivatives are intermediate
 - their values will not be used for gradient descent

Activation Functions



Leaky ReLU $\max(0.1x, x)$



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Maxout} \\ \max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2) \end{array}$



Activation Functions

[Mass et al., 2013] [He et al., 2015]

- Does not saturate
- Computationally efficient
- Converges much faster than sigmoid/tanh in practice! (e.g. 6x)
- will not "die".

Parametric Rectifier (PReLU)

$$f(x) = \max(lpha x, x)$$

backprop into \alpha / (parameter)



Leaky ReLU $f(x) = \max(0.01x, x)$

Data Preprocessing



(Assume X [NxD] is data matrix, each example in a row)

Batch Normalization

[loffe and Szegedy, 2015]

Input: $x: N \times D$





D

Batch Normalization

[loffe and Szegedy, 2015]

Input: $x: N \times D$

Learnable scale and shift parameters: $\gamma, \beta : D$

Learning $\gamma = \sigma$, $\beta = \mu$ will recover the identity function!



Transfer Learning with CNNs

1. Train on Imagenet

FC-1000
FC-4096
FC-4096
MaxPool
Conv-512
Conv-512
MaxPool
Conv-512
Conv-512
MaxPool
Conv-256
Conv-256
MaxPool
Conv-128
Conv-128
MaxPool
Conv-64
Conv-64
Image

2. Small Dataset (C classes)



Donahue et al, "DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition", ICML 2014 Razavian et al, "CNN Features Off-the-Shelf: An Astounding Baseline for Recognition", CVPR Workshops 2014

Batch Gradient Methods

- Batch or deterministic gradient methods:
 - Optimization methods that use all training samples are batch or deterministic methods
- Somewhat confusing terminology
 - Batch also used to describe *minibatch* used by minibatch stochastic gradient descent
 - Batch gradient descent implies use of full training set
 - Batch size refers the size of a minibatch



Stochastic or Online Methods

- Those using a single sample are called Stochastic or on-line
 - On-line typically means continually created samples drawn from a stream rather than multiple passes over a fixed size training set
- Deep learning algorithms usually use more than one but fewer than all samples
 - Methods traditionally called minibatch or minibatch stochastic now simply called stochastic

Ex: (stochastic gradient descent - SGD)



SGD Follows Gradient Estimate Downhill

Algorithm: SGD update at training iteration *k*

Require: Learning rate ϵ_k . Require: Initial parameter θ while stopping criterion not met do Sample a minibatch of m examples from the training set $\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\}$ with corresponding targets $y^{(i)}$. Compute gradient estimate: $\hat{g} \leftarrow +\frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\theta} \sum_{i} L(f(x^{(i)}; \theta), y^{(i)})$ Apply update: $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \epsilon \hat{g}$ end while

A crucial parameter is the learning rate ε At iteration k it is ε_k



Need for Decreasing Learning Rate

- True gradient of total cost function
 - Becomes small and then 0
 - One can use a fixed learning rate
- But SGD has a source of noise
 - Random sampling of *m* training samples
 - Gradient does not vanish even when arriving at a minimum
 - Common to decay learning rate linearly until iteration τ : $\varepsilon_k = (1-\alpha)\varepsilon_0 + \alpha\varepsilon_\tau$ with $\alpha = k/\tau$
 - After iteration $\tau,$ it is common to leave ϵ constant
 - Often a small positive value in the range 0.0 to 1.0



Learning Rate Decay

Decay learning rate

 τ : $\varepsilon_k = (1-\alpha)\varepsilon_0 + \alpha \varepsilon_\tau$ with $\alpha = k/\tau$



- Learning rate is calculated at each update
 - (e.g. end of each mini-batch) as follows:

1 lrate = initial_lrate * (1 / (1 + decay * iteration))

- Where *lrate* is learning rate for current epoch
- *initial_lrate* is specified as an argument to SGD
- decay is the decay rate which is greater than zero and
- *iteration* is the current update number

```
1 from keras.optimizers import SGD
2 ...
3 opt = SGD(lr=0.01, momentum=0.9, decay=0.01)
4 model.compile(..., optimizer=opt)
```







SGD Algorithm with Momentum

Algorithm: SGD with momentum

Require: Learning rate ϵ , momentum parameter α . Require: Initial parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, initial velocity \boldsymbol{v} . while stopping criterion not met do Sample a minibatch of m examples from the training set $\{\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}\}$ with corresponding targets $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$. Compute gradient estimate: $\boldsymbol{g} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i} L(f(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{y}^{(i)})$ Compute velocity update: $\boldsymbol{v} \leftarrow \alpha \boldsymbol{v} - \epsilon \boldsymbol{g}$ Apply update: $\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{v}$ end while

Keras: The learning rate can be specified via the *lr* argument and the momentum can be specified via the *momentum* argument.

```
1 from keras.optimizers import SGD
2 ...
3 opt = SGD(lr=0.01, momentum=0.9)
4 model.compile(..., optimizer=opt)
```



Momentum

SGD with momentum



Contour lines depict a quadratic loss function with a poorly conditioned Hessian matrix. Red path cutting across the contours depicts path followed by momentum learning rule as it minimizes this function

Comparison to SGD without momentum



At each step we show path that would be taken by SGD at that step Poorly conditioned quadratic objective Looks like a long narrow valley with steep sides Wastes time



Motivation



Harder



AdaGrad

- Individually adapts learning rates of all parameters
 - Scale them inversely proportional to the sum of the historical squared values of the gradient
- The AdaGrad Algorithm:

Require: Global learning rate ϵ Require: Initial parameter θ Require: Small constant δ , perhaps 10^{-7} , for numerical stability Initialize gradient accumulation variable r = 0while stopping criterion not met do Sample a minibatch of m examples from the training set $\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\}$ with corresponding targets $y^{(i)}$. Compute gradient: $g \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \nabla_{\theta} \sum_{i} L(f(x^{(i)}; \theta), y^{(i)})$ Accumulate squared gradient: $r \leftarrow r + g \odot g$ Compute update: $\Delta \theta \leftarrow -\frac{\epsilon}{\delta + \sqrt{r}} \odot g$. (Division and square root applied element-wise) Apply update: $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \Delta \theta$ end while

Performs well for some but not all deep learning



2D Convolution

A 2D image *f*[*i*,*j*] can be filtered by a **2D kernel** *h*[*u*,*v*] to produce an output image *g*[*i*,*j*]:

$$g[i, j] = \sum_{u=-k}^{k} \sum_{v=-k}^{k} h[u, v] \cdot f[i+u, j+v]$$

This is called a **convolution** operation and written:

$$g = h \circ f$$

h is called "**kernel**" or "**mask**" or "**filter**" which representing a given "window function"






input

output

Convolutional Layer

Convolve 3D image with 3D filter

result is a 28x28x1 activation map, no zero padding used



Convolutional Layer

1x1 convolutions make perfect sense

Example

- Input image of size 56x56x64
- Convolve with 32 filters, each of size 1x1x64



Basic CNN example (à la *LeNet* -1998)

NOTE: transformation of multi-dimensional arrays (tensors)



Common Structure: Encoder/Decoder

Segnet: A deep convolutional encoder-decoder architecture for image segmentation Badrinarayanan, Kendall, Cipolla – TPAMI 2017



Deconvolution: Example

Note: this result is equivalent to Bilinear Interpolation

0	1	2	3		Ð	Ð	0.25	0.5	0.75	4	1.25	1.5	0.75
4	5	6	7		Ð	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	1.5
8	9	10	11		4	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	2.5
12	13	14	15		2	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	3.5
Ir	nput	Imag	e		3	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	4.5
Kerne		<u>nel</u>	25		4	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	10.5	11	5.5
0.2			0.5		5	10	10.5	11	11.5	12	12.5	13	6.5
0.0			0.5	kernel-3v3	6	12	12.5	13	13.5	14	14.5	15	7.5
0.2	5 0.	.5 0).25	stride=2		C	C 25	C E	6.75	7	7.05	7.5	2.75
padding=1						6	6.25	6.3	0./5	+	1.25	7.5	3./3

Output Image

Bilinear Interpolation is a special case of deconvolution.

The corresponding transpose convolution kernels exists for any stride (code https://gist.github.com/mjstevens777/9d6771c45f444843f9e3dce6a401b183)

V. Dumoulin, and F. Visin. "A guide to convolution arithmetic for deep learning." arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07285 (2016).

Skip connections: concatenation



U-net: expanding decoder with symmetry

and many skip connections





RNN and Transformer (Topic 13)

N-gram models

N-gram models assume each word (event) depends only on the previous n–1 words (events): Unigram model: $P(w^{(1)}...w^{(N)}) = \prod_{\substack{i=1\\N}}^{N} P(w^{(i)})$ Bigram model: $P(w^{(1)}...w^{(N)}) = \prod_{\substack{i=1\\N}}^{N} P(w^{(i)}|w^{(i-1)})$ Trigram model: $P(w^{(1)}...w^{(N)}) = \prod_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{N} P(w^{(i)}|w^{(i-1)},w^{(i-2)})$

Independence assumptions where the n-th event in a sequence depends only on the last n-1 events are called Markov assumptions (of order n-1).



How many parameters do n-gram models have?

Given a vocabulary V of |V| word types: so, for |V| = 104:

Unigram model: |V| parameters

(one distribution $P(w^{(i)})$ with |V| outcomes [each $w \in V$ is one outcome])

Bigram model: $|V|^2$ parameters

104 parameters

10⁸ parameters

Trigram model: |V|³ parameters

10¹² parameters



A bigram model for Alice

 Alice was beginning to get very tired of sitting by her sister on the bank, and of having nothing to do:
 once or twice she had peeped into the book her sister was reading, but it had no pictures or conversations in it, 'and what is the use of a book,' thought Alice 'without pictures or conversation?'

- $\begin{array}{l} P(\mathbf{w}^{(i)} = \texttt{of} \mid \mathbf{w}^{(i-1)} = \texttt{tired}) = 1 \\ P(\mathbf{w}^{(i)} = \texttt{of} \mid \mathbf{w}^{(i-1)} = \texttt{use}) &= 1 \\ P(\mathbf{w}^{(i)} = \texttt{sister} \mid \mathbf{w}^{(i-1)} = \texttt{her}) = 1 \\ P(\mathbf{w}^{(i)} = \texttt{beginning} \mid \mathbf{w}^{(i-1)} = \texttt{was}) = 1/2 \\ P(\mathbf{w}^{(i)} = \texttt{reading} \mid \mathbf{w}^{(i-1)} = \texttt{was}) = 1/2 \end{array}$
- $P(w^{(i)} = bank | w^{(i-1)} = the) = 1/3$ $P(w^{(i)} = book | w^{(i-1)} = the) = 1/3$ $P(w^{(i)} = use | w^{(i-1)} = the) = 1/3$



An n-gram model $P(w | w_1...w_k)$ as a feedforward net (naively)

Assumptions:

The **vocabulary** V contains V types (incl. UNK, BOS, EOS) We want to condition each word on k preceding words

Our (naive) model:

- [Naive]

Each input word $w_i \in V$ is a V-dimensional one-hot vector v(w)

- → The input layer $\mathbf{x} = [v(w_1), ..., v(w_k)]$ has $V \times k$ elements
- We assume one hidden layer h
- The output layer is a softmax over V elements

 $P(w \mid w_1...w_k) = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{h}\mathbf{W}^2 + \mathbf{b}^2)$



1D CNNs for text

Text is a (variable-length) **sequence** of words (word vectors) [#channels = dimensionality of word vectors] We can use a **1D CNN** to slide a window of *n* tokens across:

— Filter size n = 3, stride = 1, no padding

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog

— Filter size n = 2, stride = 2, no padding:

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog



Recurrent Neural Network

Temporal nature in language processing

QRNN deals with sequential input data stream like language.



A simple RNN



A Simple Recurrent Neural Network

RNN illustrated as a feed-forward network





A Simple Recurrent Neural Network

RNN unrolled in time



How to optimize Recurrent Neural Network?



□ Backpropagation through time

$$\frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_3}{\partial \mathbf{W}} + \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{W}} + \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_3}{\partial \mathbf{h}_2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{h}_1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_2}{\partial \mathbf{W}}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\partial L_t}{\partial \mathbf{h}_t} \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{t} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_j}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{j-1}} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_k}{\partial \mathbf{W}}$$



Training an RNN Language Model

Maximum likelihood estimation





Generation with RNN Language Model

□Autoregressive (casual) generation



Vanishing/exploding gradients

Consider the gradient of L_t at step t, with respect to the hidden state \mathbf{h}_k at some previous step k (k<t):

$$\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial \mathbf{h}_k} = \frac{\partial L_t}{\partial \mathbf{h}_t} \left(\prod_{t \ge j > k} \frac{\partial \mathbf{h}_j}{\partial \mathbf{h}_{j-1}} \right)$$

□ Recurrent multiplication

Gradients too small (vanishing gradient) or too large (exploding gradient)



Exploding gradients

What is the problem?

□We take a very large step in SGD

□Solution: Gradient clipping





Vanishing gradients

- **W**hat is the problem?
- □ Parameters barely get updated (no learning)

□Solution:

□LSTMs: Long short-term memory networks



Problem of Encoder-decoder architecture

Context vector encodes EVERYTHING about input sequenceContext vector acts as a bottleneck





Attention weights between words

Example: English to French translation
 Input: "The agreement on the European Economic Area was signed in August 1992."
 Output: "L'accord sur la zone économique européenne a été signé en août 1992."



Casual or backward-looking self-attention

Attends to all the inputs up to, and including, the current one





Self-attention

□ Final Version

$$\mathbf{q}_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{Q}}; \mathbf{k}_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{K}}; \mathbf{v}_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{V}}$$

$$\operatorname{score}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}) = \frac{\mathbf{q}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{j}}{\sqrt{d_{k}}}$$

$$\alpha_{ij} = \operatorname{softmax}(\operatorname{score}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j})) \quad \forall j \leq i$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{i} = \sum_{j \leq i} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{v}_{j}$$







Decision Tree (Topic 14)

An example of Decision Tree





Which feature/attribute to split first?

□ Probably Patron and Type

Example	Attributes										
Litempro	Alt	Bar	Fri	Hun	Pat	Price	Rain	Res	Type	Est	Wait
X_1	Т	F	F	Т	Some	\$\$\$	F	Т	French	0-10	Т
X_2	Т	F	F	Т	Full	\$	F	F	Thai	30-60	F
X_3	F	Т	F	F	Some	\$	F	F	Burger	0–10	Т
X_4	Т	F	Т	Т	Full	\$	F	F	Thai	10-30	Т
X_5	Т	F	Т	F	Full	\$\$\$	F	Т	French	>60	F
X_6	F	Т	F	* T *	Some	\$\$	Т	Т	Italian	0-10	Т
X_7	F	Т	F	F	None	\$	Т	F	Burger	0-10	F
X_8	F	F	F	Т	Some	\$\$	Т	Т	Thai	0–10	Т
X_9	F	Т	Т	F	Full	\$	Т	F	Burger	>60	F
X_{10}	Т	Т	Т	Т	Full	\$\$\$	F	Т	Italian	10-30	F
X_{11}	F	F	F	F	None	\$	F	F	Thai	0-10	F
X_{12}	Т	Т	Т	Т	Full	\$	F	F	Burger	30–60	Т



Which feature/attribute to split first?



□Idea: good attribute splits examples into subsets that are (ideally) *all positive* or *all negative*



Information Gain





• Information gain for asking Patrons is 0.54, for asking Type is 0



Overfitting, Early Stopping, and Pruning

- Growing the tree until each leaf is pure will produce a large tree that overfits.
- \Box Early stopping: we stop splitting if the impurity is below a user threshold $\theta > 0$.
- □*Pruning*: we grow the tree in full until all leaves are pure and the training error is zero. Then, we find subtrees that cause overfitting and prune them





Ensemble Model (Topic 15)

Example: Random forest

Train an ensemble of L decision trees on L different subsets of the training set

Define the ensemble output for a test instance as the majority vote (for classification) or the average (for regression) of the L trees


Bagging

We generate L (partly different) subsets of the training set
We train L learners, each on a different subset
The ensemble output is defined as the vote or average
Random forest: a variation of bagging



Boosting

Weak learner: a learner that has probability of error < 1/2 (i.e., better than random guessing on binary classification).
 Ex: decision trees with only 1 or 2 levels.

Strong learner: a learner that can have arbitrarily small probability of error.

Ex: neural net

Boosting combines many weak learners to a strong learner



Ada Boost for 2 Classes

Initialization step: for each example **x**, set $D(x) = \frac{1}{N}$, where **N** is the number of examples **N Iteration step** (for **t** = 1...T):

- 1. Find best weak classifier $h_t(x)$ using weights D(x)
- 2. Compute the error rate ε_t as

$$\varepsilon_{t} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}^{i}) \cdot \mathbf{I}[\mathbf{y}^{i} \neq \mathbf{h}_{t}(\mathbf{x}^{i})]$$

3. compute weight α_t of classifier h_t

$$\alpha_t = \log \left((1 - \epsilon_t) / \epsilon_t \right)$$

- 4. For each \mathbf{x}^i , $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}^i) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}^i) \cdot \exp(\alpha_t \cdot \mathbf{I}[\mathbf{y}^i \neq \mathbf{h}_t(\mathbf{x}^i)])$
- 5. Normalize $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}^i)$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}^i) = 1$

$$f_{final}(\mathbf{x}) = sign \left[\sum \alpha_t \mathbf{h}_t(\mathbf{x})\right]$$

AdaBoost Example



Decision boundary non-linear



Support Vector Machine (Topic 16)

SVM: Linearly Separable Case

• SVM: maximize the *margin*



• *margin* is twice the absolute value of distance **b** of the closest example to the separating hyperplane

SVM: Linearly Separable Case



• Support vectors are samples closest to separating hyperplane

SVM: Optimal Hyperplane

- Maximize margin
 - subject to constraints
 - $\begin{cases} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{w}_{0} \ge 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_{i} \text{ is positive example} \\ \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \mathbf{w}_{0} \le -1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_{i} \text{ is negative example} \end{cases}$
- Let $\begin{cases} z_i = 1 & \text{if } x_i \text{ is positive example} \\ z_i = -1 & \text{if } x_i \text{ is negative example} \end{cases}$
- Convert our problem to

minimize
$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$$

constrained to $\mathbf{z}^i (\mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{w}_0) \ge 1 \quad \forall \mathbf{i}$

• J(w) is a convex function, thus it has a single global minimum

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{2}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

SVM: Optimal Hyperplane

• Use Kuhn-Tucker theorem to convert our problem to:

maximize
$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{D}}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \mathbf{z}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathsf{t}} \mathbf{x}_{j}$$
constrained to
$$\alpha_{i} \ge 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{i} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i} = 0$$

- $\alpha = {\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n}$ are new variables, one for each sample
- $L_D(\alpha)$ can be optimized by quadratic programming
- $L_D(\alpha)$ formulated in terms of α
 - depends on w and w₀

SVM as Unconstrained Minimization

SVM objective can be rewritten as unconstrained optimization



- z_i f(x_i) > 1 : x_i is on the right side of the hyperplane and outside margin, no loss
- z_i f(x_i) = 1 : x_i on the margin, no loss
- z_i f(x_i) < 1 : x_i is inside margin, or on the wrong side of the hyperplane, contributes to loss

Non Linear Mapping

- To solve a non linear problem with a linear classifier
 - 1. Project data \mathbf{x} to high dimension using function $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x})$
 - 2. Find a linear discriminant function for transformed data $\phi(\mathbf{x})$
 - 3. Final nonlinear discriminant function is $g(x) = w^t \phi(x) + w_0$



• In 2D, discriminant function is linear

$$\mathbf{g}\!\left[\!\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\\\mathbf{x}^{(2)}\end{bmatrix}\!\right] = \!\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{w}_1 & \mathbf{w}_2\end{bmatrix}\!\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{x}^{(1)}\\\mathbf{x}^{(2)}\end{bmatrix}\!+\mathbf{w}_0$$

• In 1D, discriminant function is not linear $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_2 \mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{w}_0$

Non Linear SVM

• Nonlinear discriminant function

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{S}} \alpha_i \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x})$$

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{S}} \text{weight of support}_{\text{vector } \mathbf{x}_i} \mathbf{F1} \text{similarity}_{\text{between } \mathbf{x} \text{ and}_{\text{support vector } \mathbf{x}_i}}$$

$$\underset{\text{i.e. support vectors}}{\text{most important}} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}\|^2\right)$$